

Ioannis Mavroudis, MD, PhD*
Foivos Petridis, MD, PhD†
Symela Chatzikonstantinou, MD, MSc†
Dimitrios Kazis, MD, PhD†
 *Department of Neurology
 Leeds Teaching Hospitals
 Leeds, UK
 †Third Department of Neurology
 Aristotle University of Thessaloniki
 Thessaloniki, Greece

REFERENCES

1. Mavroudis I, Petridis F, Chatzikonstantinou S, et al. Alpha-synuclein levels in the differential diagnosis of lewy bodies dementia and other neurodegenerative disorders: a meta-analysis. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord*. 2020;34:220–224.
2. Lim X, Yeo JM, Green A, et al. The diagnostic utility of cerebrospinal fluid alpha-synuclein analysis in dementia with Lewy bodies—a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord*. 2013;19:851–858.
3. Gao L, Tang H, Nie K, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid alpha-synuclein as a biomarker for Parkinson's disease diagnosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Neurosci*. 2015;125:645–654.
4. Wang ZY, Han ZM, Liu QF, et al. Use of CSF α -synuclein in the differential diagnosis between Alzheimer's disease and other neurodegenerative disorders. *Int Psychogeriatr*. 2015;27:1429–1438.
5. Kasuga K, Tokutake T, Ishikawa A, et al. Differential levels of alpha-synuclein, beta-amyloid42 and tau in CSF between patients with dementia with Lewy bodies and Alzheimer's disease. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2010;81:608–610.

Comment on “Comparison of the Accuracy of Short Cognitive Screens Among Adults With Cognitive Complaints in Turkey”

To the Editor:

We read with interest the article of Varan et al titled “Comparison of the Accuracy of Short Cognitive Screens Among Adults with Cognitive Complaints in Turkey.” In this article, the

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

accuracies of 3 short cognitive screening instruments in detecting mild amnesic-type cognitive impairment (MCI) and Alzheimer disease (AD) in the elderly with low literacy were compared and the cut-off levels valid for Turkish society were obtained.¹ A scale developed elsewhere in the world, especially cognitive screening tests and mood scales, is also validated for each community due to intercommunal education and socio-cultural differences. Thus, whether the scale is valid for that society and appropriate cut-off values are determined. For example, according to the study results of Varan et al,¹ the most appropriate Qmci cut-off value in the Turkish population was found to be ≤ 54 with 74.29% sensitivity and 77.78% specificity. However, Qmci's recommended cut-off for Irish society is < 62 (sensitivity of 90% and specificity of 87%).² Therefore, it is very valuable to determine the values specific to the population for screening tests that help establish the diagnosis of the disease.

However, Varan and colleagues evaluated their depressive symptoms with GDS-15 to exclude patients with depression in their study and used ≥ 7 cut-off value for the diagnosis of depression.³ At this point, a methodological error was made. First, in the study by Matias et al,³ which the authors refer to for this cut-off, ≥ 6 cut-off was used for GDS, not ≥ 7 (sensitivity of 80% and specificity of 44%, delimiting the area under the ROC curve of 0.70). Second, the validation of GDS-15 for the elderly Turkish population was already done by our group in 2018 and the analysis performed considering DSM-5 criteria revealed that the sensitivity, and specificity of GDS-15 in determining depression were 92%, and 91%, respectively, when the cut-off value was taken as ≥ 5 .⁴ Moreover, given the fact that the questions 2, 9, 10, 11, and 13 of GDS-15 are related to cognitive and motivational abilities,^{4,5} in order to exclude patients with depression, it is absolutely necessary to take the GDS cut-off as ≥ 5 and reanalyze for the accuracy of the results.

Ahmet Turan Isik, MD*
Pinar Soysal, MD†

*Unit for Brain Aging and Dementia
 Department of Geriatric Medicine, School
 of Medicine, Dokuz Eylul University, Izmir

†Department of Geriatric Medicine
 Bezmialem Vakif University, Faculty of
 Medicine, Istanbul, Turkey

REFERENCES

1. Varan HD, Kizilarlanoglu MC, Balci C, et al. Comparison of the accuracy of short

cognitive screens among adults with cognitive complaints in Turkey. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord*. 2020;34:350–356.

2. O'Caomh R, Timmons S, Molloy DW. Screening for mild cognitive impairment: comparison of “MCI Specific” Screening Instruments. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 2016;51:619–629.
3. Matias AG, Fonseca Mde A, Gomes Mde L, et al. Indicators of depression in elderly and different screening methods. *Einstein (Sao Paulo)*. 2016;14:6–11.
4. Durmaz B, Soysal P, Ellidokuz H, et al. Validity and reliability of geriatric depression scale-15 (short form) in Turkish older adults. *North Clin Istanbul*. 2018;5:216–220.
5. Ertan T, Eker E. Reliability and validity of the Geriatric Depression Scale in Turkish elderly population: are there different factor structures in different cultures? *Int Psychogeriatr*. 2000;12:163–173.

Response to “Comment on Comparison of the Accuracy of Short Cognitive Screens Among Adults With Cognitive Complaints in Turkey”

In Reply:

We thank Işık and colleagues for their response and feedback on our article. Işık and colleagues refer to our use of the GDS-15 to identify patients with depression and our application of a cut-off score of ≥ 7 for the diagnosis of depression. We appreciate the authors concerns and would like to take this opportunity to clarify. Use of short screening instruments for depression to identify those meriting more detailed assessment is common in clinical trials of cognitive impairment. In our study, as with others, the GDS-15 was performed only for screening and not for the diagnosis of depression.¹ After screening with the GDS-15, a comprehensive geriatric assessment was conducted. Patients diagnosed with depression were only

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.